Application No. 09/990,504 Amendment Dated February 11, 2004 Reply to Office Action of January 16, 2004

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed January 16, 2004, the Examiner rejected claims 1-4 and 10 under 35 USC §112, second paragraph.

Specifically, the Examiner stated that in claim 1, line 2, the phrase "between a resting position and a firing position" did not appear to be accurate. Upon review of the claims and the subject matter of the application, the applicant has amended claim 1 to state that the primary magnet in the trigger and the secondary magnet behind the trigger repel each other to assist the movement of the trigger between a firing position and a resting position.

In rejecting claim 10, the Examiner stated that it was not clear as to how the first solenoid and second solenoid were actuated to move the trigger between the firing and resting positions. By the present amendment, claim 10 has been amended to more clearly define the disclosed subject matter of the invention.

Based upon the above-noted claim amendments, claims 1 and 10 are believed to be in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the applicant's undersigned attorney with any questions or comments, or to otherwise facilitate prosecution of the application.

The applicant hereby notes that the issue fee in the present application has already been paid and, as such, the applicant hereby requests that the Examiner contact the applicant's undersigned attorney should any additional problems arise in this application such that the applicant can expedite prosecution of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP

By: Joseph D. Kuborn - Reg. No. 40,689

Andrus, Sceales, Starke & Sawall, LLP 100 East Wisconsin Avenue, St. 1100 Milwaukee, WI 53202 (414) 271-7590 Attorney Docket No. 4239-00006